NOTES:
1) **Final Examination:** closed book.
2) **Class Participation:** Students are expected to be prepared for class. The lack of adequate class participation, when called on, will be taken into account as a factor that will lower grades.
3) Good and regular attendance is mandatory. Attendance will be taken and an excessive number of absences may lead to involuntary withdrawal from the class.

Introduction

Judicial Notice (Rule 201) - 1238-1247
   In re Marriage of Tresnak

Witness Examination

Direct (Rule 611(c)) -- 333-339
   Straub v. Reading Co.
Refreshing (Rule 612) -- 340-352
   US v. Riccardi
Past Recollection Recorded (Rule 803(5)) -- 539-540
   US v. Porter
Preparation of Witnesses -- 316-333; 237-246
   Resolution Trust Corp. v. Bright
   Opinion NO. 79, Legal Ethics Committee
Cross -- (Rule 607, 611(a)(b)(c)) -- 362-381
   Finch v. Weiner
   People v. Sallis
Redirect -- 381-385
   Commonwealth v. O’Brien
Real Proof/Relevance

Factual Relevance (Rule 401, 901) -- 97-122
- McAndrews v. Leonard
- Almeida v. Corriea
- Bruce’s Juices v. US
- Miller v. Pate - Add the following facts:
  - The “blood” was Type A
  - The decedent had Type A blood
  - 40% of the population has Type A blood
  - The shorts were found 3 days after the crime

Anderson v. Berg

Preliminary Issues of Fact - 169-172
- Sliker v. US

Logical Relevance (Rule 401) -- 1-15
- People v. Adamson

Prejudice -- (Rule 403) -- 15-35
- State v. Poe
- US v. Zimeri-Safie
- State v. Bray
- Robbins v. Whelan
- Old Chief v. US

Reproductions/Photographs -- (Rule 901) -- 150-176
- Knihal v. State
- Loftin v. Howard
- Bannister v. Town of Noble
- US v. Carbone

Diagrams -- 176-181
Views -- 136-139
- People v. Crimmins

Demonstrations -- 139-150
- Larramendy v. Myres
- Hall v. GM

Writings

Foundation -- (Rule 901-902) -- 181-211
- Keegan v. Green Giant Co.
- US v. Labovitz
- Zenith Radio Corp. v. Matsushita Electric Industries
- University of Illinois. v. Spalding

Best Evidence (Rule 1002, 1004, 1006, 1007) -- 211-237
- Myers v. US
- Davenport v. Ourisman-Mandell Chevrolet, Inc.
- Amoco v. US
Relevance and Sufficiency

Circumstantial Proof -- 35-51
  Regina v. Onufrejczyck

Statistical Proof -- 51-79
  People v. Collins
  Smith v. Rapid Transit, Inc.
  State v. Rolls

Review of Relevance

Collateral Evidence (Rule 806) -- 402-405
  State v. Oswalt
  Stephens v. People
  People v. Pargo
  People v. Terczak
  People v. Wilson

Competency

Common Law – (Rule 601) – 255-277
  Rock v. Arkansas
  Zeigler v. Moore

Truthfulness -- 285-291
  US v. Ward

Ability to Perceive – (Rule 602) -- 291-297
  State v. Raniere

Infancy -- 297-305; 563;

Mental Disease – 305-316

Opinion Evidence

Lay Opinion -- (Rule 701) --352-362
  Wilson v. Pennsylvania RR Co.
  US v. Stamps

Expert Opinion -- (Rule 702-5) -- 954-1067
  Een v. Consolidated Freightways
  People v. Taylor
  Meier v. Ross General Hospital
  Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm.
  US v. Chischilly
  US v. Scop
  US v. West (Rule 704)
  Rabata v. Dohner
  Pelster v. Ray (Rule 703)
  People v. Anderson

Learned Treatises -- (Rule 803(18)) -- 766-771

Lie Detectors -- 467-471

Cross Examination
Anticipating Cross -- 385-390
US v. Cosentino

Impeaching Own Witness –( Rule 607) - 390-401

Impeachment Subject Matter
Bias -- 406-416
Gordon v. US
US v. Campbell
Henning v. Thomas
Grudt v. City of LA

Crimes -- (Rule 609) -- 416-429
US v. Valencia
Cree v. Hatcher

Bad Acts -- (Rule 608) -- 429-438
People v. Sorge

Statements
Prior Inconsistent -- (Rule 613; 801(d)(1)(A) -- 453-462; 522-530
Denver City Tramway Co. v. Lomovt
Rowe v. Farmers Insurance Company, Inc

Prior Consistent -- (Rule 801 (d)(1) (B)) -- 540-549; 552-554
Tome v. US
Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey (Rule 106)

Circumstantial Proof

Character
Other Crimes -- (Rule 404, 405) -- 808-815; 819-853; 861-875
People v. Zackowitz
State v. Spraggin
State v. Abercrombie
People v. Steele
Gaddis v. Steele
People v. Cole
US v. Montalvo
People v. Santarelli
State v. Bock
US v. Figueroa
Lyles v. State
Huddleston v.US

Reputation -- (Rule 803(21)) -- 875-887; 438-447; 464-467
Michelson v. US
US v. Dotson

Civil Cases -- 914-921
Dallas Railway & Terminal CO. v. Farnsworth

Habit & Custom -- (Rule 406) -- 921-926
Frase v. Henry

Settlement Offers -- (Rule 408) -- 938-947

Hearsay

Rule 801
Definition (Rule 801 (a)-- 475-522
Leake v. Haggert
People v. Eady
Brown v. Coca Cola
Kingdon v. Sybant
Safeway Stores Inc. v. Combs
Hanson v. Johnson
Koury v. Follo
US v. Jackson
Betts v. Betts
Bridges v. State
State v. Galvan
Rex v. Wysochan
Wright v. Doe D. Tatham
Kinder v. Commonwealth

Admissions (Rule 801(d)(2)(A-E)-- 563-605
Bill v. Farm Bureau Life Insurance Co.
Schrffius v. Orr
East Kentucky Rural Electric Co-op. v. Phelps
US v. McKeon
Mahlandt v. Wild Canid Survival & Research Center, Inc.
Brookover v. Mary Hitchcock Memorial Hospital
Wilkerson v. Carnival Cruise Lines, Inc.
Bourjaily v. US
US v. Urbanik

Rule 804

Unavailability - Rule 804(a)(1-5)
Dying Declaration - Rule 804(b)(2)-- 753-760
People v. Callahan
People v. Nieves
Declaration Against Interest- (Rule 804(b)(3)-- 605-634,218-285
Potter v. Finan
Carpenter v. Davis
People v. Brown
Williamson v. US

Former Testimony - Rule 804 (b)(1)-- 723-737; 747-752
Fleury v. Edwards
State v. Ayers
Commonwealth v. Canon
US v. DiNapoli
Rule 803

Business Records – Rule 803(6) & 803(8) – 679-723
  Palmer v. Hoffman
  US v. Jacoby
  Johnson v. Lutz
  Beech Aircraft Corp. v. Rainey
  Baker v. Eleona Homes Corp.
  Commonwealth v. DiGiacomo

Physical or Mental Condition -- Rule 803(4) – 645-654; 718-723
  Wadena v, Bush
  US v. Tome

State of Mind -- Rule 803(3) – 654-679
  US v. Brown
  Mutual Life Ins. v. Hillmon
  US v. Annunziato
  Smith v. Slifer
  In re Anderson’s Estate

Spontaneous or Excited Utterances -- Rule 801-2) -- 634-645
  Commonwealth v. Coleman
  US v. Obayagbona

Catchall -- (Rule 807) – 774-796
  Robinson v. Shapiro

Constitutional Limitations -- Confrontation
  Handout:
  Crawford v. Washington
  Davis v. Washington