YOU ARE LIVING IN A GOLD RUSH

Richard Delgado*

I. INTRODUCTION

Our times represent, at least for me and many of my friends, such a bewildering mix of right-wing ascendancy, liberal cooptation, euphemism, doublespeak, intergenerational rivalry, two-party politics in which the parties trade sides on key issues virtually every month, and
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1. See, e.g., MANUEL G. GONZALES & RICHARD DELGADO, THE POLITICS OF FEAR: HOW REPUBLICANS USE MONEY, RACE, AND THE MEDIA TO WIN, at vii (2006) (describing how the conservative right has used the politics of fear to enlist public support) [hereinafter GONZALES & DELGADO, POLITICS OF FEAR].

2. See, e.g., id. at ix, 84, 104-06 (describing how many liberals adopted programs dear to the right in hopes of remaining in power); infra note 5 and accompanying text.

3. For example, the No Child Left Behind Act substitutes frequent testing for educational reform, smaller class size, or adequately financed schools, as discussed in a special section in the New York Times devoted to the President’s State of the Union Address. See David E. Sanger & Jim Rutenberg, Bush, Pressing Modest Agenda, Insists U.S. Must Not Fail in Iraq, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 24, 2007, at A14 (“Children should be tested on basic reading and math skills every year between Grades 3 and 8. Measuring is the only way to know whether all our children are learning.”); see also Editorial, Energy Rhetoric, and Reality, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 25, 2007, at A24 (deploring empty promises of energy conservation masking as high-minded goals); Cornelia Dean, Scientists Criticize White House Stance on Climate Change Findings, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 3, 2007, at A1 (same); Elisabeth Rosenthal & Andrew C. Revkin, Science Panel Says Warming Is ‘Unequivocal’, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 3, 2007, at A1 (same) [hereinafter Rosenthal & Revkin, Unequivocal]; Denise Grady, U.S. Reconfigures the Way Casualty Totals Are Given, N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 2, 2007, at A17 (noting that U.S. officials are altering definitions of “casualty” to depict the Iraq war as less dangerous to civilians than it is).

4. Consider, for example, recent efforts to enlist the young in support of cutbacks for Social Security by depicting it as a program of prime benefit to the elderly. See, e.g., Sanger & Rutenberg, supra note 3 (“If you’re a younger worker, I believe you should be able to set aside part of that money in your own retirement account, so you can build a nest egg for your own future.”).

5. For example: for at least partial support by some Democrats for the war in Iraq, see Adam Nagourney & Patrick Healy, Democratic Hopefuls Split over the Best Path to Peace, N.Y. TIMES, Feb 2, 2007, at A12; for support for the minimum wage (first opposed by most Republicans, then supported), see Kate Zernike, Senate Adds Tax Breaks as It Passes a Wage Bill, N.Y. TIMES, Jan. 31, 2007, at A17; the military draft, usually anathema to peaceloving liberals, is now receiving support from some of them, see, e.g., Eric Lichtblau, Flurry of Calls About Draft, and a Day of Denials, N.Y. TIMES, Dec. 23, 2006, at A14; and affirmative action is newly popular among
incomprehensible economics\textsuperscript{6} that it is hard to read the daily papers without the growing suspicion that one has gone mad. Just as you thought you understood what is happening in Iraq, the Sunnis and Shiites start fighting each other with a level of ferocity that makes our presence there practically irrelevant.\textsuperscript{7} As soon as you thought you had Bush’s economic program figured out—lavish tax cuts to the rich, deregulation for the chemical industry, symbolic concessions for everyone else\textsuperscript{8}—his administration proposes something completely out of character, such as universal health insurance, financed, to be sure, by taxing the relatively few “gold plated” employer-paid group benefits.\textsuperscript{9} Oy, veh. (Translation: Ay, caramba). It goes on and on.

Here is one way I have hit upon to think about our times. I do not guarantee it will work for you. But if it does, hey, I’m just here to help. You can think of our times, beginning perhaps fifteen years ago, as a latter-day Gold Rush, fueled by easy money from the technology revolution, globalization, outsourcing, the opening of third-world markets, and the emergence of India and China as trade powers—all part of what columnist Tom Friedman calls the flat world.\textsuperscript{10}

As someone once said, it is hard to see the back of your head. Part of what this phrase means, I think, is that it is difficult to get a fix on how others see you. But in another sense, the phrase refers to the inherent difficulty of understanding one’s times, at least when living
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\textsuperscript{10} \textit{See generally} THOMAS L. FRIEDMAN, \textit{The World Is Flat: A Brief History of the Twenty-First Century} (updated & expanded ed. 2006) (positing that developments in communication and information technology have “flattened” the world—enabled capital, labor, and ideas to find each other regardless of their initial location).
through them. Later, of course, it is much easier; one gains perspective and can look back and see the currents that were swirling around, sort them out, and make some sense of them.

Doing that at the very time they unfold is trickier. My solution has been to search for a similar period in the past that exhibited some of the same forces at play as today. For me that period is the Gold Rush of the mid-nineteenth century.

II. RELIVING THE GOLD RUSH

Our times, as mentioned earlier, are characterized by rampant globalization, accompanied by a rapid increase in social inequality, obscenely high corporate profits and executive salaries, official corruption, and a laissez-faire government averse to regulation or any form of social welfare or redistribution. We have seen a coarsening of public taste and an increase in bellicosity in diplomacy and world affairs. Our judicial system has stood as no bulwark against these tides, handing down decision after decision favorable to a hawkish executive

11. For discussion of one aspect of this predicament, see Richard Delgado & Jean Stefancic, Images of the Outsider in American Law and Culture: Can Free Expression Remedy Systemic Social Ills?, 77 CORNELL L. REV. 1258, 1260-61, 1275-82 (1992) (pointing out that one rarely is able to detect the racism of one’s time, but only that of the past, and coining term “empathic fallacy” to describe the belief that one can).


13. See supra notes 1-9 and accompanying text.

14. See, e.g., Jacques Barzun, From Dawn to Decadence: 500 Years of Western Cultural Life 788-98 (2000) (observing that Western culture is in decline even as its material wealth increases) [hereinafter Barzun, Decadence]; Gonzales & Delgado, Politics of Fear, supra note 1, at 15-16, 59-60, 82-83, 111-14 (commenting on this new belligerence of neoconservatives who whip up war fervor through appeals to nationalism and fear).
branch, corporate power, and traditional religion and morality.\footnote{15} What is going on here? Was it not just a few years ago that courts were the defenders of the weak and powerless, and the champions of the criminally accused, mental patients, and the poor? Were they not the careful monitors of the line between church and state, as well as defenders of women’s right to choose?\footnote{16}

Looking at today from the perspective of the Gold Rush and a few other go-go eras such as the Gilded Age helps current events fall into place. If it does not help you make the right guesses on the stock market, at least it may help you from going stark raving crazy.

So, in what respects are we reliving the Gold Rush? For one thing, we have all heard of the riches to be had, seen the many new Hummers and other expensive cars on the highway, noticed the recent wave of second-home buying.\footnote{17} Most of us have not shared in that new wealth, in fact have jobs that are less secure and bring us less real income than ever.\footnote{18} But we have all heard of dot-com millionaires, barely out of their teens, who started a company with a few friends one night in a dorm


16. See generally, e.g., Gideon v. Wainwright, 372 U.S. 335 (1963) (holding that petitioner’s trial and conviction without the assistance of counsel violated the Fourteenth Amendment and that the right of an indigent defendant to have the assistance of counsel in a criminal trial is fundamental); Miranda v. Arizona, 384 U.S. 436, 444 (1966) (holding that the prosecution may not use statements from the custodial interrogation of the defendant “unless it demonstrates the use of procedural safeguards effective to secure the privilege against self-incrimination”); Roe v. Wade, 410 U.S. 113 (1973) (holding that a state criminal abortion statute that excepts only a life-saving procedure without regard to the pregnancy stage or recognition of the other interests at stake violated the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment); Engel v. Vitale, 370 U.S. 421 (1962) (holding that requiring an official state prayer to be recited at public schools violated the Establishment Clause).
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... room and are now wealthy enough to buy their old universities. For those not good at mathematics or computer science, television shows like The Apprentice, American Idol, or Survivor teach that a technical background or business degree from a fancy school are not necessary for success. All that is required is a confident demeanor, a winning personality, and the willingness to take chances.

Much the same was true during the Gold Rush. Coarse, uneducated single men with few prospects and little knowledge of mining or the outdoors flocked to California, Colorado, and the Klondike from cities in the East, the Midwest, or South in search of easy fortune. Few of them found it, but all had heard of someone else who had. So they all kept trying long after prudence would have suggested a different line of work.

One thing the miners accomplished was a considerable amount of environmental destruction; few of them took time to clean up their debris before moving on to another site or going back home to St. Louis. Colorado today is paying the price in deforested hillsides (razed to provide timber to shore up the mines), poisoned rivers, and mountains stained white by chemicals leaching out of the abandoned mines. I have lived there; it is not a pretty sight.

Today, we repeat many of those patterns. Silicon Valley is one of the most polluted spots in the nation, while our national attachment to big cars and fossil fuels contributes daily to global warming. All this accompanies a laissez-faire, me-first attitude that bears an eerie resemblance to the one that fueled the first Gold Rush 150 years ago.
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In addition to dreams of easy wealth going hand in hand with environmental pillage, the two eras witnessed a pronounced coarsening of taste and culture. The miners wanted women and bawdy houses, not opera and classical music. After a rough day in the fields, they expected easily grasped, visceral entertainment, the kind we would today call “sight gags,” not the kind that would challenge their brains and sensibilities.

Is this true for our period, as well? Consider how support for the arts has fallen, how crime, horror, and other best-selling genres account for the sale of ninety percent of books, and the Internet is crowded with shrill blogs, conspiracy theorists, pornographers, inane friendship circles, and spam. Can anyone recall when America last produced a world-class composer, poet, novelist, or painter?

What about the role of law? Today, our judges wear robes, publish their opinions in nicely bound volumes, and go home by five o’clock. In that sense, we are a little more civilized than the vigilantes that doled out frontier justice in the Wild West. But we have had our lapses—Guantanamo Bay, Abu Ghraib, and foreign rendition, to name a few. And the USA PATRIOT Act denies civil liberties for the foreign born and ordinary citizens alike just as surely as did a lynching party looking for a Chinaman.

Consider how both eras witnessed nativism and a dislike of foreigners. Today’s society is up in arms about illegal immigration, with a militarized border, beefed-up patrols, and even calls for a 700-mile long fence. Even small communities far from the border are
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enacting repressive measures aimed at signaling that undocumented workers are not welcome.33

What about in 1850? The last half of the nineteenth century saw a veritable flood of legislation, at both the local and state level, aimed at keeping foreign competition under control. When Mexican and Chinese gold miners enjoyed success, states imposed Foreign Miners’ taxes on brown and yellow prospectors,34 while San Francisco enacted anti-Chinese ordinances to keep that group from operating profitable laundries.35 Anglos removed Native Americans from rich lands, resettling them in desolate reservations far from where their ancestors were buried.36 In a few cases, these reservations were found to contain mineral wealth. Anglos simply removed the Native Americans a second time.37 When the Japanese successfully farmed rocky or hilly parcels that West Coast Anglo farmers could not make bloom, western states and cities simply passed ordinances that kept them from farming, and Congress enacted statutes that prevented them from immigrating altogether.38

The two periods, then and now, were marked by foreign wars and invasions, then in the form of a pretextual, land-grabbing war with the new, weak nation of Mexico and, a little later, another with Spain.39 Today, the United States brandishes a muscular foreign policy that covets bases in other parts of the world, access to markets and oil, and the right to dictate the kinds of weapons other nations develop, even if they disclaim any intention to use them.40

Both periods saw shifts in the ruling ideology. Then, doctrines like Discovery, Manifest Destiny, and, later, the Monroe Doctrine justified official expansionism.41 Today, we tell ourselves a subtler story, that we


34. See, e.g., ACUÑA, supra note 12, at 112; PEREA ET AL., supra note 12, at 311, 370.


38. See PEREA ET AL., supra note 12, at 398-405, 514-17.

39. Id. at 253-75; ACUÑA, supra note 12, at 40-51, 70.

40. On that newly muscular policy, see, for example, David Brooks, The Iraq Syndrome, R.I.P., N.Y. TIMES, Feb. 1, 2007, at A23.

are spreading democracy.\textsuperscript{42} During westward expansion, the settlers detested the far distant federal government, which insisted, from time to time, on decency and negotiation in the treatment of Native Americans; they much preferred local authorities, who were much less tolerant of the Native Americans and Mexicans.\textsuperscript{43} Today, in similar fashion, conservatives extol the benefits of federalism, with a weakened federal bureaucracy sharing power with the states.\textsuperscript{44} The only difference is that the Native Americans are missing and the ideology slightly more dignified.

\section*{III. CONCLUSION}

If we are all living, in effect, in a Gold Age with innumerable parallels to that rough period 150 years ago, what does it bode for the future? For one thing, the excesses of the Gold Rush era came to an end; they did not go on forever. The money ran out. We stopped killing each other, invading other nations, and stringing up foreigners, blacks, and Latinos. U.S. society eventually began cleaning up the worst of the environmental messes left from that era and shifted to more sustainable sources of income, such as farming and industry. Perhaps time will temper some of the worst excesses of our day as well, and we will relearn the lessons of the past, including how to live with our neighbors, share our vast wealth, and live in harmony (after a fashion) with the natural environment.\textsuperscript{45}

Don’t count on it. But you heard it here first.
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